

Questions to the public

8 May 2012



CDM Policy Dialogue

Introduction

The high-level panel of the CDM policy dialogue was established in late 2011 by the CDM Executive Board to carry out the CDM policy dialogue as a high-level independent process and take stock of lessons learned. The aim of the dialogue is to provide recommendations on how to best position the CDM in the future. The panel's mandate is wide-ranging, allowing it to decide for itself what issues and aspects of CDM it wishes to consider, and what methods to use to address them. The recommendations are to be presented at the 69th meeting of the CDM Executive Board in September 2012, and will also be made publicly available. The recommendations are expected to influence the future design and operation of the CDM, as well as other new market based mechanisms.

The high-level panel convened for the first time on 14-15 February 2012 in Bonn, Germany. At this meeting, the panel adopted the approach that it will follow to provide the report and recommendations expected. The three main elements of this approach consist of:

- a. A research programme
- b. A stakeholder engagement plan, and
- c. A process for drafting the final report.

The research program was set to address three specific aspects of the CDM:

- 1) impact of the CDM,
- 2) governance and efficiency of the CDM, and
- 3) future context of the CDM.

Stakeholder input from the public

This questionnaire aims to complement the research work on the governance of CDM conducted by the high-level panel. The input will provide insights on potential improvements on the efficiency and effectiveness of the CDM. This survey seeks input from stakeholders on issues related to the election, composition, role and governance of the CDM Executive Board. All answers will be duly considered by the panel and remain anonymous in the report to be submitted to the CDM EB.

Kindly send across your feedback/comments/views on the following issues to the policy dialogue contact facility: www.cdmpolicydialogue.org/contact or through the email CDM-Policy-Dialogue@unfccc.int by 31th May to facilitate the panel's work.

CDM Executive Board (CDM EB) composition and conduct

1. Please comment on the current CDM EB election process and composition. Do you consider the process fair and effective? What criteria do you think nomination should base on?
2. Do you think the current composition of the EB sufficiently represent the views of different countries, regions and/or interest groups? Please provide details.
3. Do you see any improvements needed in the nomination process of the EB members and alternates? Please specify.
4. Is the current EB governance system sufficient to make CDM an efficient mechanism? Do you have any suggestion on improving the governance of the EB?
5. Please provide your thoughts about the workload of the EB. Do you think some of the EB's responsibilities should be discharged to other bodies and why? What responsibilities should be discharged to whom and how?
6. Do you think the EB members should work on a full-time or part-time basis?
7. Do you perceive any conflict of interest for the EB members to represent their countries on CDM issues at the COP? How can the process be improved?
8. Please comment on the transparency of the EB decision making process in:
 - a) Project approval and credit issuance
 - b) Policy and rules formulation
9. What should the EB's involvement be in the emergence of an appeals or grievances mechanism?
10. Please specify any other criticisms of the EB as a body not noted above. Where possible please suggest measures or solutions.